# Impact Analysis Report/RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0166** (RTC-56875) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM459434 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-BE |
| **CI** | **NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-v5.14.1– v1.00 (DDNTA Appendix A)** |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **NCTS-P5: (DDNTA-v5.14.1 CSE-v51.6.0): Updates in Appendix A** |
| As per incident IM459434, raised by NA-BE, in TSS CSE deliverable SfA version 51.6.0 - Appendix A (DDNTA v5.14.1), the message CC176C is included in the sheets “pvt\_Existing functionality” and also in the sheet “IE Scope”.  Since the new message CC176C is not part of the NCTS.P5 messages, it should be removed from the above sheets in Appendix A. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| In the latest version of the CSE deliverable (NCTS-P5 DDNTA-v5.14 based on CSE-v51.6.0), the new message CC176C is included in the sheets “pvt\_Existing functionality” and also in the sheet “IE Scope” as presented below:  “IE Scope”    “pvt\_Existing functionality”    Message CC176C- Presentation Notification Rejection for the Pre-Lodged Declaration is not part of the NCTS-P5. As a result, this message should be removed. |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The **DDNTA-v5.14.1-v1.00 (incl. Appendix A)** shall be corrected as follows (removal of ~~text with strikethrough~~):  “IE Scope”  From:    To:    “pvt\_Existing functionality”  From:    To:    **IMPACT ASSESSMENT:**    This RFC-Proposal describes a **purely documentary**improvement (an update in Appendix A) with no implementation impact. It is considered that the change proposed via the current RFC-Proposal has no impact on business continuity.    **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (**T-Ops**):   As soon as possible, at latest 1.12.2023  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (**T-CT**):                     July 2022  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (**T-CAB**):                  January 2022  **Impact on transition**: None  **Risk of not implementing the change:** None    **Impacted CIs:**   * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix A, PDFs): Yes;** * CSE-v51.6.0: No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM): No; * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * DMP Package-v5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * CRP-v5.5-v1.00: No; * ACS: 5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * TRP-5.7.5: No; * DDCOM v20.3.0-v1.00: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No; |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-v5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix A)** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | Changes described in section 3. | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | The RFC-Proposal describes a purely documentary improvement (an update in Appendix A) | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | |  |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 24/11/2021 |  |
| V0.11 | Updates by CUSTDEV | 15/12/2021 | *Version Update* |
| v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | SfA to NPMs |